

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/824,447	04/02/2001	Tetsuya Ikemoto	210485	9485	_
23460 7:	590 08/19/2002				
	IT & MAYER, LTD		EXAMINER		1
TWO PRUDENTIAL PLAZA, SUITE 4900 180 NORTH STETSON AVENUE			COVINGTON, RAYMOND K		
CHICAGO, IL	60601-6780		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	-
			1625	12	
			DATE MAILED: 08/19/2002	10	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/824,447

Applicant(s)

lkemoto et al

Examiner

Raymond Covington

Art Unit **1625**



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet	t with the correspondence address			
	or Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the						
- If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	date of this communication. seriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the seriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and will expire SIX (6) MC he application to become a	ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•	·			
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 5/7/02 and	nd 6/26/02				
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This act	tion is non-final.				
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-19</u>		is/are pending in the application	ation.		
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	<u></u>	is/are withdrawn from con	sideration.		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are allowed.	4		
6) 🗶	Claim(s) <u>1-19</u>		is/are rejected.			
7) 🗌	Claim(s)		is/are objected to.			
8) 🗌	Claims	are su	ubject to restriction and/or election re	quirement.		
	tion Papers					
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) accepted of	or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held i	in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)	\square approved b) \square disapproved by t	he Examiner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	to this Office action	n.			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	riority under 35 U	l.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	All b)□ Some* c)□ None of:					
	1. U Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.					
	B. U Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Bures the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	au (PCT Rule 17.2	2(a)).			
_	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic					
a) 🗆						
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic					
Attachme		,,				
1) Not	ice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)			
	ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informa	al Patent Application (PTO-152)			
3) Normation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 8,9 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/824,447

Art Unit: 1625

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bogeso_et_al US 4,136,193 taken with Carre'.

Bogeso et al is applied as in the previous office action and teaches 1dimethylaminopropyl-1-phenyl phthalans (citalpram) derivatives of the type
recited in the claims. See, for example, column 2 lines 12-29. It was noted that
patentees do not show the recited particle size claimed. Petersen et al UK '762 has
been withdrawn and Carre' applied in lieu thereof. Carre' teaches a modifying
reaction parameters such as temperature and rate of cooling to produce particles of
a given size and uniformity. See page 319 first full paragraph. It would have been
obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Bogeso et al using the
teachings of Carre'. To modify the primary reference using the teachings of the
secondary reference to obtain an optimized expected product, having a more
uniform particle size of desired size, by adjusted the process parameters, such as

Application/Control Number: 09/824,447 Page 3

Art Unit: 1625

controlling the cooling rate would not have been unexpected and therefore

unpatentable.

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from

the examiner should be directed to Raymond Covington whose telephone number

is (703) 308-4704. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

4698

examiner's supervisor, A. Rotman can be reached on (703) 308-0204. The fax

phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned are (703) 308-7922 for regular communications and (703_ 308-7922 for

After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)

308-1235.

Raymond Covington Examiner

Art Unit 1625

Covington/LR July 31, 2002

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600